

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,927	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy D. Collins	3643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after amendment

 (1) Timothy D. Collins.

(3) _____.

 (2) Clark Jablon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2006
Time: afternoon
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 3

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The informality of claim 3 in line 12 which states into/from was discussed. It was decided that an examiner's amendment would be made to fix this and place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)